Evaluation Criteria:

The County shall apply the following evaluation criteria in selecting a proposer with whom to commence contract negotiations. Such criteria are not necessarily listed in order of importance. The County reserves the right to weigh its evaluation criteria in any manner it deems appropriate. All proposals will be evaluated on a 100 point system. Proposals will be rated on:

1. Statement of Need (5 Pts):

Did the respondent demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the need and the consequences of not addressing the need, based on the quantitative and qualitative information provided and address the following?

- Did the respondent identify and justify the geographic area in which the project will be located and did the respondent provide the statistical justification that the target area is in need of these services? (2 Pts)
- Did the respondent statistically justify the proposed number of participants to serve, and did the respondent demonstrate that there is a sufficient pool of participants to recruit into the program? (1 Pt)
- Did the respondent demonstrate the need for the selected training program in the state and/or local area? (2 pts)

2. Expected Outcomes and Outputs (5 Pts):

 Are the respondent's outcomes and outputs realistic, clear, and consistent with the expressed needs? (5 pts)

3. Project Design (50 Pts):

- a) Did the respondent approach show comprehensiveness and effectiveness to providing the required eight core program components, including the incorporation of the job-driven training elements? (40 Pts)
- Did the respondent clearly describe case management? (5 Pts)
- Did the respondent clearly describe mentoring? (5 Pts)
- Did the respondent clearly describe educational interventions? (5 Pts)
- Did the respondent clearly describe service-learning? (5 Pts)
- Did the respondent clearly describe occupation training that leads to industry recognized credentials? (5 Pts)
- Did the respondent clearly describe workforce activities that lead to employment? (5 Pts)
- Did the respondent clearly describe follow-up services? (5 Pts)
- Did the respondents clearly address diversion? (5 Pts)

- b) Did the respondent provide a clear and effective work plan? (5 Pts)
- c) Did the respondent clearly demonstrate how external barriers to meeting stated goals will be addressed and did the respondent clearly demonstrate the strength of the strategies described to ensure that the stated goals are met? (5 Pts)

4. Organizational, Administrative, and Fiscal Capacity (16 Pts):

- Did the respondent clearly explain the extent to which their organization and the proposed partners have the capacity to carry out the proposed project and did the respondent clearly demonstrate the level of qualifications and experience of personnel to fulfill the needs and requirements of the proposed project? (3 Pts)
- Did the respondent clearly demonstrate the strength of the fiscal and administrative controls to properly manage Federal funds and the capability of their organization to sustain project activities after Federal financial assistance ends? (3 Pts)
- Did the respondent clearly demonstrate the strength of the required program partnership with the Juvenile Justice System, workforce system, and non-profit legal services centers as demonstrated by Letters of Commitment or Memoranda of Understanding? (5 Pts)
- Did the respondent clearly demonstrate the strength of the additional program partnership with any additional organizations as demonstrated by Letters of Commitment or Memoranda of Understanding? (5 Pts)

5. Past Performance-Programmatic Capability (19 Pts)

All respondents must provide grantor contact information on the performance chart attachment. Respondents that do not provide this information will receive 0 points for subsections a-c below.

a) Performance Goals (8 Pts)

 Respondents that met or exceeded both performance goals for their most recently completed grant will receive 8 points for this subsection.

- Respondents that met or exceeded on performance goal but did not meet the other performance goal will receive 4 points for this subsection.
- Respondents that did not meet either performance goal will receive 0 points for this subsection.

b) Fiscal Viability (3 Pts)

- Respondents that provide an auditor's report with an Unqualified Opinion will receive 3 points.
- Respondents that provide an auditor's report with Qualified Opinion will receive 1 point.
- Respondents that provide an auditor's report with an Adverse Opinion, a Disclaimer of Opinion, or no Opinion will receive 0 points for this sub-criterion.

6. Budget and Budget Justification (5 Pts)

Is the respondent's budget reasonable based on the activities outlined in the project narrative? (5 Pts)